## Case 2:20-cv-01229-WBS-JDP Document 12 Filed 05/03/22 Page 1 of 4

1	Mark E. Merin (State Bar No. 043849)		
2	Paul H. Masuhara (State Bar No. 289805)  LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN  1010 F Street, Suite 300  Sacramento, California 95814		
3			
4	Telephone: (916) 443-6911		
5	Facsimile: (916) 447-8336 E-Mail: mark@markmerin.com		
6	paul@markmerin.com		
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  PANIEL GARZA LOSHILA BLUZ			
8	DANIEL GARZA, JOSHUA RUIZ, ELISABETH CROUCHLEY, STEVEN PASSAL, RUSSELL VREELAND, ANTHONY PIRES, JOHN RUFFNER, and JENNIFER LORET DE MOLA		
9			
10			
11	915 I Street, Room 4010 Sacramento, CA 95814-2608 Telephone: (916) 808-5346		
12			
13			
14			
15	Attorneys for Defendants  CITY OF SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO  POLICE DEPARTMENT, and DANIEL HAHN		
16			
17			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
21	DANIEL GARZA, et al.,	Case No. 2:20-cv-01229-WBS-JDP	
22	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	
23	vs.	RE: PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
24	CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			
	1		

## **STIPULATION**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), E.D. Cal. L.R. 141.1, and 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(v), the parties stipulate to the entry of a qualified protective order as follows:

- 1. The parties and their attorneys are authorized to receive, subpoena, and transmit "protected health information" pertaining to Plaintiffs to the extent and subject to the conditions outlined herein.
- 2. For the purposes of this qualified protective order, "protected health information" shall have the same scope and definition as set forth in 45 C.F.R. § 160.103 and 164.501. Protected health information includes, but is not limited to, health information, including demographic information, relating to either (a) the past, present, or future physical or mental condition of an individual; (b) the provision of care to an individual; or (c) the payment for care provided to an individual, which identifies the individual or which reasonably could be expected to identify the individual.
- 3. All "covered entities" (as defined by 45 C.F.R. § 160.103) are hereby authorized to disclose protected health information pertaining to Plaintiffs to attorneys representing Plaintiffs and Defendants in the above-captioned litigation.
- 4. The parties and their attorneys shall be permitted to use or disclose the protected health information of Plaintiffs for purposes of prosecuting or defending this action including any appeals of this case. This includes, but is not necessarily limited to, disclosure to their attorneys, experts, consultants, court personnel, court reporters, copy services, trial consultants, and other entities or persons involved in the litigation process.
- 5. Prior to disclosing Plaintiffs' protected health information to persons involved in this litigation, counsel shall inform each such person that Plaintiffs' protected health information may not be used or disclosed for any purpose other than this litigation. Counsel shall take all other reasonable steps to ensure that persons receiving Plaintiffs' protected health information do not use or disclose such information for any purpose other than this litigation.
- 6. Within 45 days after the conclusion of the litigation including appeals, the parties, their attorneys, and any person or entity in possession of protected health information received from counsel pursuant to paragraph four of this Order, shall return Plaintiffs' protected health information to the

## 

1	covered entity or destroy any and all copies of protected health information pertaining to Plaintiffs,	
2	except that counsel are not required to secure the return or destruction of protected health information	
3	submitted to the court.	
4	7. This Order does not authorize either party to seal court filings or court proceedings. A	
5	party may seek permission from the Court to file protected health information under seal pursuant to E.D.	
6	Cal. L.R. 141.	
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
8	Dated: April 25, 2022 Respectfully Submitted, LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN	
	/s/ Mark E. Merin	
10	By:	
11	Mark E. Merin Paul H. Masuhara	
12   13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14	DANIEL GARZA, JOSHUA RUIZ, ELISABETH CROUCHLEY, STEVEN PASSAL,	
15	RUSSELL VREELAND, ANTHONY PIRES, JOHN RUFFNER, and JENNIFER LORET DE MOLA	
16	Dated: April 25, 2022 Respectfully Submitted,	
17	CITY OF SACRAMENTO	
18	/s/ Chance L. Trimm (as authorized on April 25, 2022)	
19	By: Chance L. Trimm	
20	Attorney for Defendants	
21	CITY OF SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO POLICE DEPARTMENT, and DANIEL HAHN	
22	FOLICE DEFARTMENT, and DANIEL HATIN	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	

## Case 2:20-cv-01229-WBS-JDP Document 12 Filed 05/03/22 Page 4 of 4

1	[PROP	<del>OSED]</del> ORDER
2	The parties' stipulation is GRANTED.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	Dated: <u>May 2, 2022</u>	JEREMY D. PETERSON
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		